

FILED 10 NOV 17 08:06 USDC-ORE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin filed Findings and Recommendation on October 25, 2010, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion

of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Plaintiff has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given de novo review of Magistrate Judge Coffin's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Coffin's Findings and Recommendation filed October 25, 2010, in its entirety. Defendants' motion to dismiss and compel arbitration (#8) is granted. Plaintiff's request for leave to amend her complaint (#18) is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 16th day of Nov., 2010.


UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE